WATER QUALITY MEMORANDUM

Utah Coal Regulatory Program

September 27, 2006

of

TO:

Internal File

THRU:

D. Wayne Hedberg, Permit Supervisor

FROM:

Steve Fluke, Reclamation Hydrogeologist

RE:

2006 First Quarter Water Monitoring, Canyon Fuel Company, Gordon Creek 2, 7, & 8, C/007/0016-WQ06-1, Task ID #2566

1. Was data submitted for all required monitoring sites?

YES[]NO[X]

The Gordon Creek 2, 7, & 8 Mine is inactive and mostly reclaimed. The mine is awaiting appropriate conditions to apply for Phase II Bond Release. Until the mine receives Phase II Bond Release, water quality samples are to be collected and analyzed quarterly at seven surface water monitoring sites including one UPDES site at the sediment pond discharge. Water monitoring data is evaluated from the data that is submitted quarterly by the mine to the Division EDI database. The water monitoring plan is presented in Section 7.2.6, Water Monitoring – Until Phase II Bond Release, of the mine's MRP (p. 7-27). Water quality parameters are outlined in Table 7-18 of the mine's MRP.

Surface Sampling is required quarterly for five ephemeral stream monitoring sites (2-7-W, 2-8-W, 2-9-W, 2-10-W, and 2-11-W) and one intermittent stream monitoring site (2-2 W).

No access due to snow and ice was reported for all of the ephemeral stream monitoring sites. The intermittent stream monitoring site (2-2-W) was accessed for sampling and the data submitted to the EDI.

UPDES Sampling is required monthly for one active UPDES site (UTG040004-001).

No access due to snow and ice was reported for the month of January. No flow was reported for the UPDES site for the months of February and March.

2.	Were all required	d parameters reported for each site?	YES[X]NO[]
	Surface	All required parameters were reported for the acc	essed site (2-2-W).
	UPDES	No flow reported.	
3.	Were any irregul	arities found in the data?	YES[]NO[X]
	Surface Elevated concentrations of TDS and dissolved constituents were reported for the intermittent stream site (2-2-W), although not outside of historical range Probably due to snow melt.		
	UPDES	No flow.	
4.	4. On what date does the MRP require a five-year resampling of baseline water da		
	The MRP doe	s not require a five-year resampling of baseline wa	ater data.
5.	Based on your review, what further actions, if any, do you recommend?		
	Surface	No further action is recommended.	
	UPDES	No further action is recommended.	
6.	Does the Mine Operator need to submit more information to fulfill this quarter's monitoring requirements? YES [] NO [X]		
7.	Follow-up from last quarter, if necessary. Did the Mine Operator submit or provide a explanation for missing and/or irregular data?		
	NA		

O:\007016.GC2\Water Quality\smf2566.doc